Using Computer Vision Models for Image Dataset Annotation

Rune Wind

Master's Thesis in Bioinformatics

Supervisors: Christian Storm Pedersen René Thomsen



Bioinformatics Research Centre Aarhus University Denmark

January 2022

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Christian Storm Pedersen and René Thomsen for excellent supervision and guidance during my thesis work and for always sparing time when help was needed.

I would also like to thank Scio+ for providing me with data for this project and for giving me a place to work at their offices.

Finally, I would like to thank the people working at Scio+ for providing a pleasant and welcoming atmosphere and for offering their help.

Outline

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	2
ABSTRACT	4
INTRODUCTION	5
DATA AND PREPROCESSING	6
Data Preprocessing	
METHODS	
Baseline model	9
Baseline model algorithm	
YOLO MODELS	13
Introduction to Neural Networks	
Hyperparameter tuning	
YOLOv5 – The Latest Iteration	
Training and Testing of YOLOv5s	
Training and Testing of YOLOv5x	24
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	25
Baseline model	26
YOLO MODELS	
Training results	
Testing Results	
Comparing the models	
FURTHER ANALYSIS	36
CONCLUSION	
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	37
DECEDENICES	20

Abstract

This report is the product of a 30 ECTS master thesis at Aarhus university's bioinformatics and research center. The project was done in collaboration with Scio+, a local R&D department of a larger company, who supplied the data.

The aim of this project is to build an object detection model, that can generate annotations for another computer vision model. The model is trained, and meant to be used, on images from a chicken farm where the model is trained to detect a weigher placed among the chickens. The dataset used is comprised of infrared images of chicken pens taken from above along with annotations for the weigher.

To perform the object detection, three models were chosen: a contour detection model from OpenCV and two neural network (NN) models from YOLOv5. All the models were subjected to the same data and before conclusions the models are weighed against each other.

In the end, the contour detection model showed poor performance while the performance of the YOLO models impressed with little difference between the two models. Improvements for further analysis that might shed some light on the difference between the YOLO models are discussed before drawing final conclusions.